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SHAPE OF OUR THEOREMS

Consider “ordinary” theorems

- (König’s lemma) Every infinite, finitely branching tree has an infinite path.
- (Ramsey’s theorem) Every coloring of tuples into finitely many colors has an infinite monochromatic subset.
- (Atomic model theorem) Every complete atomic theory has an atomic model.
- ...
Many theorems are of the form

\[(\forall X)(\exists Y)\Phi(X, Y)\]  

where \(\Phi\) is an arithmetical formula.
SHAPE OF OUR THEOREMS

Theorems usually come with a natural class of *instances*.

- In König’s lemma, the infinite, finitely branching trees
- In Ramsey’s theorem, the colorings of tuples into finitely many colors
- In AMT, the complete atomic theories

Given an instance $X$, a $Y$ such that $\Phi(X, Y)$ holds is called a *solution* (of $X$).
Effectiveness

- Theorems are not all effective.
- Some theorems have computable instances with no computable solution.

Theorem (Kreisel)

*There exists an infinite computable binary tree with no infinite computable path.*
Question

*Are there instances that are harder to solve than any other instance?*

We need to give a precise definition of “harder”. 


**Effectiveness**

**Definition**

A instance $I$ is harder than another instance $J$ if every solution to $I$ computes a solution to $J$.

A computable instance that is harder than every computable instance is called a *universal instance*. 
Which principles admit a universal instance?
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DEFINITIONS

Definition (Tree)

- A tree is a subset of $\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ downward closed under $\leq$.
- A tree $T$ is finitely branching if for every $\sigma \in T$, there are finitely many $n$ such that $\sigma n \in T$.
- A tree is binary if it is a subset of $2^\mathbb{N}$.

Definition (Path)

A path through a tree $T$ is a set $X \in \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ such that $X \upharpoonright n \in T$ for each $n$. $[T]$ is the collection of paths of $T$. 
**König’s lemma**

**Definition (König’s lemma)**

*Every infinite, finitely branching tree has a path.*

**Definition (Weak König’s lemma)**

*Every infinite binary tree has a path.*
**Weak König’s lemma**

Theorem (Solovay)

*Weak König’s lemma admits a universal instance.*

**Definition**

*A function $f$ is d.n.c. relative to $X$ if $(\forall e)[f(e) \neq \Phi_e^X(e)]$.*

**Proof.**

- For every infinite, computable, binary tree $T$, every
  $\{0, 1\}$-valued d.n.c. function computes a path through $T$.
- There exists a computable binary tree whose paths are
  exactly the $\{0, 1\}$-valued d.n.c. functions.
König’s lemma

Theorem (Jockusch & al.)

*König’s lemma admits a universal instance.*

Proof.

- For every infinite, computable, finitely branching tree $T$, there exists an infinite $\emptyset'$-computable binary tree $U$ whose paths have the same degrees as the degrees of the paths through $T$.
- Relativize previous theorem.
**Weak Weak König’s lemma**

**Definition**

A binary tree $T$ has positive measure if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{\{|\sigma \in T : |\sigma| = n\}|}{2^n} > 0$$

**Definition (Weak weak König’s lemma)**

Every binary tree of positive measure has a path.
Weak Weak König’s lemma

Theorem (Kucera)
Weak weak König’s lemma admits a universal instance.

Definition
A Martin-Löf random is a set $X$ such that $K(X | n) \geq n - c$ for some constant $c$, where $K$ is prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity.

Proof.

- For every computable binary tree $T$ of positive measure, every Martin-Löf random is, up to prefix, a path through $T$.
- There exists a computable binary tree of positive measure whose paths are all Martin-Löf randoms.
COHESIVENESS

Definition
Given a sequence of sets \( R_0, R_1, \ldots \), a set \( C \) is \( \tilde{R} \)-cohesive if \( C \subseteq^* R_i \) or \( C \subseteq^* \overline{R_i} \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \).

Definition (Cohesiveness)
Every countable sequence of sets \( \tilde{R} \) admits an \( \tilde{R} \)-cohesive set.
COHESIVENESS

Theorem (Jockusch & Stephan)
Cohesiveness admits a universal instance.

Proof.

- For every uniformly computable sequence of sets $\tilde{R}$, every set $P$ whose jump is of PA degree relative to $\emptyset'$ computes an $\tilde{R}$-cohesive set.
- There exists a uniformly computable sequence of sets $\tilde{R}$ such that the jump of every $\tilde{R}$-cohesive set is of PA degree relative to $\emptyset'$.
RAINBOW RAMSEY THEOREM FOR PAIRS

Definition
A coloring function $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is $k$-bounded if for each color $i$, $|f^{-1}(i)| \leq k$. An infinite set $H$ is a rainbow for $f$ if $f$ is injective over $[H]^n$.

Definition (Rainbow Ramsey theorem for pairs)
Every 2-bounded function $f : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has a rainbow.
RAINBOW RAMSEY THEOREM FOR PAIRS

Theorem (J.S. Miller)

The rainbow Ramsey theorem for pairs admits a universal instance.

Proof.

- For every computable 2-bounded function \( f : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \to \mathbb{N} \), every function d.n.c. relative to \( \emptyset' \) computes a rainbow for \( f \).
- There exists a computable 2-bounded function \( f : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \to \mathbb{N} \) such that every rainbow for \( f \) computes a function d.n.c. relative to \( \emptyset' \).
Other principles

There exist a few other principles admitting a universal instance.

- Finite Intersection Property (Downey & al.)
- Ramsey-type weak weak König’s lemma (Bienvenu & al.)
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A SIMPLE METHOD

Fix a principle $P$.

- Prove that every computable instance of $P$ has a solution satisfying some property (e.g. $\Delta_2^0$, low, ...)
- Prove that for every set $X$ satisfying this property, there exists a computable instance $I$ of $P$ such that $X$ does not compute a solution for $I$.
- Then $P$ does not admit a universal instance.
GENERAL METHOD

Definition (Computable reducibility)

A principle $P$ is computably reducible to $Q$ ($P \leq_c Q$) if for every instance $I$ of $P$, there exists an $I$-computable instance $J$ of $Q$ such that for every solution $X$ of $J$, $X \oplus I$ computes a solution to $I$.

Many proofs of implications between principles in reverse mathematics is in fact a computable reduction.

Computable reducibility $\simeq$ Non-uniform Weihrauch reducibility
GENERAL METHOD

Fix two principles $P$ and $Q$.

- Prove that every computable instance of $P$ has a solution satisfying some property.
- Prove that for every set $X$ satisfying this property, there exists a computable instance $I$ of $Q$ such that $X$ does not compute a solution for $I$.
- Then no principle $R$ such that $Q \leq_c R \leq_c P$ admits a universal instance.
**Ascending Descending Sequence**

Definition (Ascending descending sequence)

*Every infinite linear order has an infinite ascending or descending sequence.*

Definition (Stable ascending descending sequence)

*Every linear order of order type $\omega + \omega^*$ has an infinite ascending or descending sequence.*
**ASCENDING DESCENDING SEQUENCE**

Theorem (Hirschfeldt & al.)

*Fix a principle \( P \) such that \( SADS \leq_c P \). If every computable instance of \( P \) admits a low solution, then \( P \) admits no universal instance.*

Proof.
For every low set \( X \), there exists a computable linear order of order type \( \omega + \omega^* \) having no \( X \)-computable infinite ascending or descending sequence.

Corollary

*SADS and SCAC (every stable partial order has an infinite chain or antichain) admit no universal instance.*
Definition (Atomic model theorem)
Every complete atomic theory has an atomic model.

Theorem (Conidis & al.)
The following statements are computably equivalent:
- The atomic model theorem
- For every $\Delta^0_2$ function $f$, there exists a function $g$ which is not dominated by $f$.

$AMT \simeq$ non-uniform hyperimmunity relative to $\emptyset'$. 
Theorem (Martin)

*Fix a principle $P$ such that $\text{AMT} \leq_c P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits a $\Delta^0_2$ low$_2$ solution, then $P$ admits no universal instance.*

**Proof.**

For any $\Delta^0_2$ set $X$, a function is high relative to $X$ iff it computes a function dominating every $X$-computable function. 

**Corollary**

*AMT, but also SADS and SCAC admit no universal instance.*
Definition

Given a coloring $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow k$, a set $H$ is homogeneous for $f$ if there exists a color $i < k$ such that $f([H]^n) = k$.

Definition (Ramsey theorem for tuples)

Every coloring $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow k$ has an infinite homogeneous set.

We write $RT^n_k$ to denote Ramsey’s theorem restricted to colorings over $n$-tuples with $k$ colors and $SRT^n_k$ to denote the restriction of $RT^n_k$ to stable colorings.
Theorem (Mileti)
Fix a principle $P$ such that $\text{SRT}_2^n \leq_c P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits a low$_2$ over $\emptyset^{(n-2)}$ solution then $P$ admits no universal instance.

Proof.
By a finite injury priority construction.

Corollary
For every $n \geq 2$, $\text{RT}_2^n$ and $\text{SRT}_2^n$ admit no universal instance.
Theorem (Patey)

Fix a principle $P$ such that $\text{SADS} \leq_c P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits a low$_2$ solution then $P$ admits no universal instance.

Corollary

$\text{CAC, SCAC, ADS, SADS}$ admit no universal instance.
Definition

*Given a function* $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, *an infinite set* $H$ *is thin for* $f$ *if*

$f([H]^n) \neq \mathbb{N}$ *(avoids at least one color).*

Definition (Thin set theorem)

*Every function* $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ *has an infinite set thin for* $f$.

We write $TS(n)$ to denote thin set theorem restricted to colorings over $n$-tuples and $STS(n)$ to denote the restriction of $STS(n)$ to stable colorings.
Theorem (Patey)

Fix a principle $P$ such that $STS(n) \leq_P P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits a low$_2$ over $\emptyset^{(n-2)}$ solution then $P$ admits no universal instance.

Corollary

For every $n \geq 2$, $TS(n)$, $STS(n)$, $RT_2^n$, $SRT_2^n$, $FS(n)$ (Free set) admit no universal instance.
Theorem (Mileti)

*Fix a principle $P$ such that $\text{SRT}_2^n \leq_c P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits an incomplete $\Delta^0_2$ solution then $P$ admits no universal instance.*

**Proof.**

By a finite injury priority construction. \(\square\)

**Corollary**

*SRT$_2^2$ admits no universal instance.*
Stable rainbow Ramsey theorem for pairs

Definition
A 2-bounded function $f : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ is rainbow-stable if for every $x$, there is a $y$ such that $f(x, s) = f(y, s)$ for cofinitely many $s$.

Definition (Stable rainbow Ramsey theorem for pairs)
Every rainbow-stable 2-bounded function $f : [\mathbb{N}]^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ admits a rainbow.

$SRRT^2_2$ is computably equivalent to the statement “for every $\Delta^0_2$ function $f$, there exists a function $g$ such that $f(x) \neq g(x)$ for each $x$.”
Theorem (Patey)

Fix a principle $P$ such that $\text{SRRT}_2^n \leq_c P$. If every computable instance of $P$ admits an incomplete $\Delta^0_2$ solution then $P$ admits no universal instance.

Proof.
By a finite injury priority construction.

Corollary

$\text{SRRT}_2^2$, $\text{SRT}_2^2$, $\text{STS}(2)$, $\text{SEM}$ (stable Erdös Moser theorem) admit no universal instance.
Erdős Moser case

Definition (Erdős Moser theorem)
Every infinite tournament admits an infinite transitive subtournament.

Theorem (Patey)
- There exists a $\text{low}_2$ degree bounding EM.
- $[\text{STS}(2) \lor \text{COH}] \leq_c \text{EM}$

Question
Does the Erdős Moser theorem admit a universal instance?
CONCLUSION

- Few Ramseyan principles admit a universal instance.
- Some principles equivalent to the “Big Five” do not admit a universal instance.
- It is currently unknown whether Erdős Moser theorem admits a universal instance.
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